CONSTRUCTION AND VALIDATION OF INTERPERSONAL INTELLIGENCE SCALE FOR ADOLESCENTS

S. Rajamanickavasagan

Ph.D Scholar St. Xavier's College of Education (Autonomous), Palayamkottai

Dr. A. Punitha Mary

Assistant Professor of Education St. Xavier's College of Education (Autonomous), Palayamkottai

Abstract

The study is to construct and validate a interpersonal intelligence scale (IIS). The scale has been constructed by Likert methods of summation to obtain a five point judgment of strongly disagree, disagree, undecided, agree, and strongly agree on each items. The final tool has 31 items related to interpersonal intelligence. Item analysis was done by item-total correlation method. The constructed tool had face and concurrent validity and the reliability was found to be 0.790.

Keywords: Construction, Validation, Interpersonal Intelligence Scale, Adolescents.

Introduction

Interpersonal intelligence is crucial due to the fact it may enhance how one communicates with others. It can also additionally assist one increase greater real friendships or grow to be a person that human beings can depend on for emotional help and stability. It also can assist make one greater appropriate for a number of professions that contain interacting with human beings. It also can assist make one greater appropriate for a number of professions that contain interacting with human beings. By definition, interpersonal intelligence is the capacity to apprehend and relate to a huge style of human beings. By growing one innate information of human beings and their feelings, having the ability to explicit one clearly, information the way to as it should be assert one need, influencing others and resolving conflict, one can also increase our interpersonal intelligence.

Objective of the Study

The objective of the study is to construct and validate the interpersonal intelligence scale for Adolescents.

Need for Measuring Proactive Attitude

Interpersonal intelligence is the ability to effectively communicate with others. It relates to a person's talent for relating to and understanding the motives and actions of others (<u>Terrell</u>. S, 2018). The following Interpersonal Intelligence Scale constructed and validated by various researchers were referred to: (1) Interpersonal Intelligence Scale (2018) (2) Interpersonal Intelligence Inventory (2018) and (3) Interpersonal Intelligence Scale (2019). These tools ensured the researchers to construct an Interpersonal Intelligence Scale as these tools are not suitable for the present study.

Vol. 5 No. 2 November 2022 E- ISSN: 2581-5377

Construction of Interpersonal Intellignence Scale

In order to construct the tool at the preliminary stage, the investigator referred many books, consulted the experts, who are familiar with the attitude in various fields, and also reviewed literatures, and referred online resources and finally gathered information regarding interpersonal intelligence. As many as 100 items were framed with different dimensions. In consultation with the experts, some of the repeated items were deleted and hence the draft tool had 80 items.

Pilot Study

After the construction of the interpersonal intelligence scale, the investigators decided to administer the tool. The tool with 80 items was administered to 100 adolescent students randomly chosen from Senthil Murugan Government Girls Higher Secondary School, Tiruchendur in Thoothukudi district. The adolescents were asked to tick their response of the given statements in the given space. The filled up questionnaires were collected and scored in the order of 1 to 5. Scores obtained by each individual were used for item analysis.

Item Analysis

The total score of Interpersonal intelligence ranges between 80 and 400. Item total and the sum of each individual score were calculated and item-total correlation was found. The Item Total Correlation's value below 0.2 was deleted in the tool. Thus 49 items were removed from the tool. The final draft consists of 31 items. The items analysis for IIS was given below.

Interpersonal Intelligence Scale Item Analysis Results (Method: Item Vs Total Product Moment Correlation)

Item No	γ value	Remark s	Item No	γ value	Remar ks	Item No	γ value	Remark s	Item No	γ value	Remark s
Item1	0.10855 8	Detained	Item21	0.102321	Detaine d	Item4	0.31482	Selected	Item61	0.30620	Selected
Item2	0.15095 4	Detained	Item22	0.111943	Detaine d	Item4 2	0.10481	Detained	Item62	0.12976 4	Detained
Item3	0.46333	Selected	Item23	0.104962	Detaine d	Item4	0.12224 7	Detained	Item63	0.37785	Selected
Item4	0.21025	Selected	Item24	0.069282	Detaine d	Item4 4	0.13017	Detained	Item64	0.00960	Detained
Item5	0.12642	Detained	Item25	0.51489	Selecte d	Item4 5	0.44495	Selected	Item65	0.33098 4	Selected
Item6	0.13555	Detained	Item26	0.128017	Detaine d	Item4	0.31035	Selected	Item66	0.11112 9	Detained
Item7	0.54499 1	Selected	Item27	0.124355	Detaine d	Item4 7	0.46164 2	Selected	Item67	0.13089 8	Detained
Item8	0.07166 9	Detained	Item28	0.248995	Selecte d	Item4 8	- 0.00671	Detained	Item68	0.00551	Detained
Item9	0.10709 2	Detained	Item29	0.489348	Selecte d	Item4 9	0.28821 5	Selected	Item69	0.31075 2	Selected
Item1	0.41952	Selected	Item30	0.148878	Detaine	Item5	0.11916	Detained	Item70	0.10435	Detained

0	3				d	0	5			5		
Item1	0.39609	Selected	Item31	0.293525	Selecte d	Item5	0.12861	Detained	Item71	0.35365	Selected	
Item1	0.11033				Detaine	Item5	0.07234			0.07682		
2	2	Detained	Item32	0.129782	d	2	2	Detained	Item72	5	Detained	
Item1	0.53370	Selected	Item33	0.139394	Detaine	Item5	0.26221	Selected	Item73	0.35760	Selected	
3	6	Sciected	Ttelli33	0.137374	d	3	4	Sciected	Item/5	1	Beleeted	
Item1	0.13731	Detained	Item34	0.124359	Detaine	Item5	0.10734	Detained	Item74	0.12277	Detained	
4	2	Detained	TtCIII5+	0.124337	d	4	4	Detained	ItCIII/4	2	Detained	
Item1	0.56966	Selected	Item35	0.307188	Selecte	Item5	0.11889	Detained	Item75	0.28038	Selected	
5	1	Sciected	Tterii55	0.307100	d	5	5			3		
Item1	-	Detained	Item36	0.299433	Selecte	Item5	0.01870	Detained	Item76	0.23207	Selected	
6	0.24396	Detained	Itemso	0.277433	d	6	3	Detained	ItCIII/0	7	Sciected	
Item1	0.14629	Detained	Item37	0.312584	Selecte	Item5	0.15839	Detained	Item77	0.22428	Selected	
7	4	Detained	ItCIII37	0.312304	d	7	9	Detained	ItCIII//	2	Sciected	
Item1	0.31926	Selected	Item38	0.077463	Detaine	Item5	0.10592	Detained	Item78	0.13168	Detained	
8	3	Sciected	ItCIII36	0.077403	d	8	8	Detained	2		Detailled	
Item1	0.11100	Detained	Item39	0.126554	Detaine	Item5	0.04663	Detained	ed Item79	0.10927	Detained	
9	9	Detained	1011139	0.120334	d	9	3	Detained	Ittili/9	5	Detained	
Item2	0.0778	Detained	Item40	0.106862	Detaine	Item6	0.21095	Selected	Item80	0.11019	Detained	
0	0.0776	Detailled	1101140	0.100002	d	0	4	Sciected	1011100	1	Detailled	

Establishing the Validity

The validity of the tool can be found in different methods. For the tool, IIS, the investigator established the face and concurrent validities.

Face Validity

The tool IIS was given to the subject experts in the field of education and their opinions were obtained. Necessary rewording and rephrasing of the items in the scale were done with the help of the experts.

Concurrent Validity

The final tool of interpersonal intelligence scale with 31 items has been administered to 100 adolescent students of Senthil Murugan Government Girls Higher Secondary School, Tiruchendur of Thoothukudi district. In addition to the self-made tool of interpersonal intelligence scale, the standardized tool Sudhakar and Magalingam interpersonal intelligence Scale with 29 items was administered to the adolescent students in order to establish the concurrent validity and the correlation between two scores was found by Pearson Product Moment Correlation. The value of concurrent validity is 0.915438.

Establishing Reliability

Test and Retest Method

To find out the tool reliability, the draft tool with 31 items was administered to the randomly selected 100 adolescent students of Senthil Murugan Government Girls Higher Secondary School, Tiruchendur of Thoothukudi district. After 10 days of interval, the same tool was administered to the same group of adolescents of the same school. After the collection of the data, the correlation between the two scores was found. The reliability coefficient was found to be 0.790.

Vol. 5 No. 2 November 2022 E- ISSN: 2581-5377

Final Tool

The final tool contained 31 items in which 7 items to empathy, 5 items to interactivity, 7 items to amiability, 5 items to sensitivity and 7 items to perspicacity respectively. The responses to the tool were comforted on a 5 point scale of strongly disagree, disagree, undecided, agree, and strongly agree on each items. The responses were given the score of 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 for negative statement and 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 for positive statement.

Sl.No	ITEMS	1	2	3	4	5
1	I can sense the feelings, even if the peers do not tell me.					
2	Sometimes I don't feel sorry for other people when they are having					
	problems.					
3	When someone else is feeling excited, I tend to get excited too.					
4	It upsets me when someone is being treated disrespectfully.					
5	When I'm reading a story book, I think about how I would react if I					
	was one of those characters.					
6	When a friend is sad, I try to make him/her to be happy.					
7	I have told my friends "not to get upset for anything" or "stop to					
,	worry".					
8	When I don't understand what a teacher teaches, then I will ask					
	questions to teacher in the classroom conversation.					
9	I can avoid or change the topic when someone comes up with their					
	own ideas.					
10	While I'm speaking, It is easy for me to recognize the reaction of					
	others.					ļ
	In the conversation, I take care about things of interest to both					
11	myself and the other person.					ļ
12	Before forgetting anything, I want to convey it to others by					
	interrupting them.					ļ
13	I enjoy socializing with my friends.					
14	I would be better in discussing the problem of friends by					
1.5	respecting their feelings rather than giving practical solutions.					ļ
15	I have a warm relationship with my parents/care giver.					ļ
16	If I moved to a new area, I would put more effort to make new					
	friends.					
17	When I have a personal problem, I feel that it is better to share it					
10	with a friend.					<u> </u>
18	My friends express that I am an easy-going and friendly person.					
19	I have the assurance that my friends will support me if I am in					
	difficulty					ļ
20	While playing with my peers, I compete with them without					
	nervous or shaky.					

21	If I feel criminal in the society, then It would scare me.			
22	I feel meaningless if there is no improvement in my group			
	activities.			
23	I should know what I receive through emotional learning in my			
23	classroom.			
24	If I lose the trust of my neighbor, then I would feel that my work			
24	as a friend would be meaningless.			
25	While teaching other group, I can understand the challenges in it.			
26	I understand giving priority to the handicap students is a good			
	habit.			
27	Always I can understand the teacher's thoughts.			
28	I am interested to understand the behavior of my friends			
29	I can understand the conflicts among friends.			
30	I don't like to understand the circumstance before I take any			
	decisions.			
31	I search friend to offer me guidance when I'm stress.			

Conclusion

The investigators constructed and standardized a tool for interpersonal intelligence. This tool can be used by all the researchers to find out the interpersonal intelligence and to analyze the various factors associated with it, so that the necessary steps can be taken to overcome the barriers. The investigators have a belief that this interpersonal intelligence scale will be beneficial for school adolescents.

References

- 1. Amutha, R., & Kasirajan, V. (2018). Interpersonal Intelligence Scale: Construction and Standardisation. *Journal of AKCE*, *I*(1), 20-23. http://www.akcequest.com/wp-content/uploads/AKCE_V1_N1_004.pdf
- 2. Kasirajan, V., & Kanakaraj, T. (2015, March 31). A study on interpersonal intelligence and emotional maturity of higher secondary 1 biology students in relation to their achievement in tirunelveli district. Shodhganga@INFLIBNET. https://shodhganga.inflibnet.ac.in/handle/10603/38423
- 3. Sudhakar, N., & Magalingam, A. (2019). A Study On Interpersonal Intelligence Of Higher Secondary School Teachers In Relation To Their Self Awareness And Self Motivation. Shodhganga@INFLIBNET. http://hdl.handle.net/10603/346859
- 4. Terrell, S. (2018, November 1). What Is Interpersonal Intelligence and How to Develop It? Mindvalley. https://blog.mindvalley.com/interpersonal-intelligence/
- **5.** Vevaina, S. C., & Bengalee, D. M. (2019, September 26). *Developing Interpersonal and Intrapersonal Intelligence through Multicultural Education*. Shodhganga@INFLIBNET. https://shodhganga.inflibnet.ac.in/handle/10603/260118